BIG IDEA: Supreme Court - Addressing Case Congestions and Delays in the Lower Courts

Changing the (100-year old!) System for Hearing and Deciding Cases

Submitted to the Presencing Institute - MIT by Godofredo Eala and Marisol Lopez Consultants, The Rizal Academy for Innovation and Leadership, TRAIL-ELIAS PH Fellows 2010



Supreme Court Chief Justice Committee on **Decongesting Cases in the Lower Courts**

Chief Justice Renato Coror Associate Justice Roberto Abad Associate Justice Lucas Bersamin Associate Justice Lucas Bersamin Associate Justice Jose Perez Deputy Court Administrator Raul Villanueva Program Administrator Geraldine Econg Sr. Deputy State Prosecutor Richard Fadullon Chief Public Attorney Persida Acosta

Supreme Court Supreme Court SC, OCA SC, PMO Dept. of Justice Dept. of Justice

Judge Ma. Filomena Singh Judge Marie Bacorro-Villena

Regional Trial Court-Quezon City Metropolitan Trial Court-Manila

De La Salle College of Law

CO-INITIATING

Our Courts are few and disproportionately distributed... Average cases for city courts number 1,000 -2,000 cases; They



Regional Trial Courts 202,547 Metropolitan Trial Courts Municipal Trial Courts Municipal Circuit Trial Courts Shari's Circuit Courts Court Management Office-OCA

Questions Posed before the Committee

1. Isour system of hearing and adjudicating disputes working for us?

2. Do we have too many intersections to crawl through in our system?

3. What is our model for hearing and

deciding cases? What are the features of our model?





CO-EVOLVING

During the court hearings, witnesses shall simultaneously be sworn to

The Judge than issues a written judgment within 90 days





Given the successful pilot, the new way of hearing court cases was approved by the Supreme Court in January 2013. Only one lawyer in the whole judicial system submitted his objections.

CO-SENSING

The Multi-Stakeholders LOWER COURT CLIENTS

- Plaintiffs and defendants
- Petitioners in special proceedings People of the Philippi
- Accused, detained or not Private lawyers Public attorneys

- Public prosecutors Witnesses
- Bonding companies

- The Multi-Stakeholders Sectors with interest
 Public in general
 - National government

 - Local government
 Law enforcement agencies

 - Civil society legal groups
 - Academe

CO-CREATING

Face-to-face Trial of Issues In 2012, court hearings applying the Arbitration process were piloted in selected salas in Quezon City. Factual issues were tried face-to-face with the judge and the different parties seated in a manner that would facilitate the exchange of questions and answers



The use of Judicial Affidavits also allowed the court to already see what issues the parties agree on and what issues were under dispute

CO-PRESENCING

Who are we? What is our WORK?

- From the data gathered during the sensing Journey, members of the Committee came together for conversations and to crystallize what the future was asking of them. As a result, they agreed to pilot hearing of cases using the Arbitration process.



Staffers: "It should be true to its nature, whether on land, at sea, or on air, as it was originally meant to function."

Key Learnings Elements:

- The system was crying for change; participating in the current Administration's Reform Program.
- Commitment of taskforce members on their shared vision and a common understanding of the challenges prepared them for the work.
- ✓ Executive championship from the previous Chief Justice as well as the newly appointed one.
- The Arbitration system is actually in use worldwide, but the Philippines was just too slow to adapt to the changing times. Aware of the strong resistance to change within the judicial system, the taskforce engaged the stakeholders through humble inquiry minimizing resistance and generating support.